

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,640	08/28/2003	Yoshinori Nakagawa	01272.020624	5555
5514	7590 10/02/2006		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER	TRAN, LY T		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
Office Action Summary	10/649,640	NAKAGAWA ET AL.			
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Ly T. TRAN	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6,9 and 19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6,9,10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and position and position to the control of the control	on from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the leading of the drawing of the leading	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/25/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yaegashi (USPN 6,079,809) in view of Watanabe et al (US 20020030716).

With respect to claims 1 and 10, Yaegashi discloses an apparatus and a method that forms an image by ejecting ink from a print head (Column 8: line 48-50), in which a plurality of ejecting portion rows (Column 8: line 51-65) are arranged comprising:

• a carriage (Column 6: line 6-7) that scans a the print head (Column 6: line 29-31); and

Application/Control Number: 10/649,640

Art Unit: 2853

preliminary ejecting means for ejecting the ink from the ejecting portions in the
print head so such that the ejection is not involved in formation of the image, is
carried out for all the ejecting portion arranged in the selected ejection portion
row (Fig.9, 15)

Page 3

- a preliminary ejection receiver (Column 7: line 30-42)enabling to receive the ink
 ejected from the plurality of ejection portion by the preliminary ejecting means
 while the carriage is not performing a scanning operation
- wherein the preliminary ejecting means sequentially selects one of the plurality of ejecting portion rows as an ejecting portion on which an ejecting operation is performed, while the carriage is not performing a scanning operation, in a state which the plurality of ejecting portions are stopped at a position opposite to the preliminary ejection receiver (Column 7 :line 30-42) (Fig.9: element S54-59, fig.15: element S83, S86).

With respect to claim 6, Nakamura discloses that plurality of ejecting portion rows are provided for respective colors of ejected ink (Fig. 8: element y, m, c, b).

With respect to claim 9, Nakamura teaches the ejecting portions are used thermal energy to cause ink to be ejected as droplets (Column 7: line 18-26).

However, Yaegashi fails to teach the ejecting portion rows are arranged in a scanning direction of the carriage.

Watanabe et al teaches the ejecting portion rows are arranged in a scanning direction of the carriage (Page 1: [0009]).

Application/Control Number: 10/649,640

Art Unit: 2853

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the ejecting portion rows arranged in a scanning direction of the carriage as taught by Watanabe. The motivation of doing so is to obtain high-speed printing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ly T. TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-2155. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571-272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LT

September 20, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER